Case 2:19-cr-00239-TLN Document 18 Filed 06/16/20 Page 1 of 3

1	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney MICHAEL W. REDDING Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100		
2			
3			
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	United States of America		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:19-CR-239-TLN	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE	
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	FRED LAVENDER,	DATE: June 18, 2020	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley	
16		200KI. Hon. Hoy 2. Humey	
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on June 18, 2020.		
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until July 16,		
22	2020, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between June 18, 2020, and July 16, 2020, under Local Code T4		
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		
24	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case		
25	includes approximately 75 pages of reports and 19 audio or video recordings. All of this		
26	discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and		
27	copying.		
28	b) Counsel for defendant des	ires additional time to consult with her client, review	

Case 2:19-cr-00239-TLN Document 18 Filed 06/16/20 Page 2 of 3

the charges, continue to further research the defendant's criminal history, further research possible defenses, further review evidence, discuss possible defenses and resolution, engage in further plea negotiations with the assigned AUSA, and otherwise prepare for trial.

- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 18, 2020 to July 16, 2020, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

//
//
//
//
//
//
//

// // //

//

//

Case 2:19-cr-00239-TLN Document 18 Filed 06/16/20 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the		
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial		
3	must commence.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6	D. J. J. 15 2020		
7	Dated: June 15, 2020 McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney		
8	//MICHAEL W DEDDING		
9	/s/ MICHAEL W. REDDING MICHAEL W. REDDING		
10	Assistant United States Attorney		
11	Detect Ivon 15, 2020		
12	Dated: June 15, 2020 /s/ Lexi Negin Lexi Negin		
13	Counsel for Defendant FRED LAVENDER		
14			
15			
16	FINDINGS AND ORDER		
17	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 16 th day of June, 2020.		
18	^		
19			
20	My - Munly		
21	Troy L. Nunley		
22	United States District Judge		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28